

United States Patent and Trademark Office

*UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	EU DIC DATE	CIDOTALA ACD INVICATION	. TTO DAY UNIT DO GREET AND		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,091	07/08/2003	Mark Davis	1070P3821	9656	
53483 KACVINSKY	7590 08/28/2007 L.L.C.		EXAMINER		
C/O INTELLEVATE			ZHOU, TING		
	.O. BOX 52050 IINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ji. . . 4

Applicant(s)
DAVIS, MARK
Art Unit
2173

	Ting Zhou	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to c this application, applicant must timely file one of the f places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp time periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an amendment, aff a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp	oire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPI	EP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply orig later than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c	ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require furthe (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE) 		i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-17 and 19-41</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of goo was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily 	to overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explain REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	ed but does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>08/15/0</u>	<u>)7</u>	
13. Other:			
		~ A PA	OE HAU II
			SE HAILU EXAMINER

Tadossatta

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however, they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Windows is not applicable as prior art for the independent claims because the current version of the Windows operating system cannot be assigned the copyright date. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The screenshots were based on Version 5.1 of Windows, therefore all the features of the Windows system used in the screenshots are features that are available under Version 5.1; version 5.1 has a copyright date of 2001, as shown in Screenshot 1; therefore, the features relied upon in the screenshots, which are features of version 5.1 of the Windows system, have a copyright date of 2001. The examiner respectfully maintains that the combination of Windows and Dyszel disclose all the elements of the claimed subject matter. The applicant's arguments have failed to place the application in condition for allowance, therefore, the claims remain rejected according to the final office action dated 5/15/07.

TADESSE HAILU PRIMARY EXAMINER